

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,585	10/07/2003	Jarmo Lehtonen	944-003,192	6853
	7590 07/24/200 OLA VAN DER SLUY	EXAMINER		
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			SHIH, HAOSHIAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary Application No. 10/681,585 LEHTONEN, JARMO Examiner Haoshian Shih All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Haoshian Shih (3) John Cabeca

(1) <u>Haoshian Shih</u> .	(3) <u>John Cabeca</u> .				
(2) <u>Jim Retter</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>18 July 2007</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,8,9,11,14,17 and 19</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 20010015720, US 6806865</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Cubatana of Interview including description of the general actual of the transfer of the first control of the c					

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner and applicant's representative discussed claims 1,8,9,11,14,17 and 19, but no agreement was reached. A less ambiguous definition of the term "force couple" may over come current prior art rejection.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.